### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

## **PCT**

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 50425/202	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below		
International application No. PCT/US2004/033176	International filing date (day/month/year) 08 October 2004 (08.10.2004)	Priority date (day/month/year) 08 October 2003 (08.10.2003)		
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237				
Applicant NORTH SHORE-LONG ISLAND JEWISH RESEARCH INSTITUTE				

1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).		
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 4 sheets, including this cover sheet.		
	In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.		
3.	This report contains indications relating to the following items:		
	Box No. I	Basis of the report	
	Box No. II	Priority	
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability	
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention	
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement	
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited	
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application	
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application	
4.	The International Bureau will connot, except where the applicant in date (Rule 44bis .2).	municate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bir.3(c) and 93bis.1 but takes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority	

	Date of issuance of this report 10 April 2006 (10.04.2006)
The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland	Authorized officer Agnes Wittmann-Regis
Facsimile No. +41 22 740 14 35	Telephone No. +41 22 338 89 70

Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

REC<sup>1</sup>D 18, JUL 2005

m the TERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTH	ORITY			WIPO	PO
ERNYLLOVAL SERRICHMONOUTHOUSE RAMO J. ARNOLD MSTER, ROTHSTEIN & EBENSTEIN LLP PARK AVENUE EW YORK, NY 10016		WR INTERNATIO	PCT		
			(PCT Rule 43		
		Date of mailing (day/month/year)		JUL 2005	
pplicant's or agent's file reference		FOR FURTHER	ACHON See paragraph 2 be	low	
0425/202 iternational application No.	International filing date (	(dav/month/year)	Priority date (day	/month/year)	
	08 October 2004 (08.10.)		08 October 2003 (08.10.2003)		
CT/US04/33176 sternational Patent Classification (IPC)	or both national classificati	ion and IPC			
PC(7): C07H 21/04; C12N 15/00; C07K 87.3, 387.2; 424/130.1; 514/2	16/00, 14/00; A61K 39/39	95, 38/00 and US Cl.:	536/23.53; 435/32	0.1, 7, 6, 325, 326;	530/300,
.pplicant ORTH SHORE-LONG ISLAND JEWI	TOTAL DESIGNATION OF THE PARTY	PIPO			
ORTH SHORE-LONG ISLAND JEWI	SH RESEARCH INSTITE	JIE			
1. This opinion contains indications rel	ating to the following item	IS:		*	
Box No. I Basis of the opinion					
Box No. II Priority					
Box No. III Non-establ	Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability				
Box No. IV Lack of un	ity of invention				
Box No. V Reasoned s applicabilit	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(f) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability, citations and explanations supporting such statement				
Box No. V1 Certain do	cuments cited				
Box No. VII Certain del	fects in the international ap	plication	•		
Box No. VIII Certain ob	servations on the internatio	nal application			
2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary. Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the closest IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.154(0) that written opinions of this International Starting Authority will not be so comisfered.					
If this opinion is, as provided abov IPEA a written reply together, when of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the	re appropriate, with amend expiration of 22 months fi				it to the mailing
For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.					
3. For further details, see notes to Fore	n PCT/ISA/220.		Ωn	Mallan	2
ame and mailing address of the ISA/ US  Authorized officer  ALANA M. HARRIS, Ph.D.					
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents		Anne Holleran	PRI	MARY EXAMINE	R
P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450		Telephone Ne(5	21)272-16001	2.1617.095	
acsimile No. (703) 305-3230					
rm PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (January	/ 200°)				

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.	
PCT/US04/33176	

	101000433110		
Box No. I Basis of this opinion			
I. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was flot, unless ofterwise indicated under this item.  This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).			
<ol><li>With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the interestion, this opinion has been established on the basis of:</li></ol>	ternational application and necessary to the claimed		
a. type of material     a sequence listing     table(s) related to the sequence listing			
b. format of material in written format in computer readable form			
c. time of filing/furnishing  contained in international application as filed.  filed together with the international application in computer readab  furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.			
In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequence application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as approximately application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as approximately application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as approximately application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed or does not go be	uent or additional copies is identical to that in the		

Form PCT/ISA/237(Box No. I) (January 2004)

### WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US04/33176

Box No.	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
1. Staten	nent				
1	Novelty (N)	Claims 1-116	YES		
,		Claims NONE	NO		
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims 24-77, 93-102, 104-10	9, 111-115 YES		
		Claims 1-23, 78-92, 103, 110.	NO		
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims 1-116	YES		
		Claims NONE	NO		

#### 2. Citations and explanations:

Claims 1-23, 78-92, 103, 110, 116 lacks an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Fais et al in view of Damle et al and Valetto et al.

al and valetto et at.

The claims are summarized as light and heavy chain genes which are members of claimed families from patients B-CLL cells, and vectors, cells, the antibodies, methods of determining the gene families.

Pais et al teach VH genes and VH amino acid sequences from B-CLL cells and the genes are from the members claimed (see Tables). Fais et al does not teach the VL genes or antibody amino acid sequences. This deficiency is made up for in the teachings of Damle et al and Valetto et al.

Damle et al teach that the VL genes from B-CLL cells have only 10% mutations and can be in two categories (see page 1840). Valetto et al teach the VL genes in B-CLL cells are greater than 97% similar and are in the VK 012 and JK1 families (see

abstract).

It would have been obvious to obtain both the VH and VL genes and to determine the families of the genes in view of the references. One would have been motivated to do so because Fais et al teach categorizing the VH genes and both Damle and Valetto et

al discuss the VH and VL genes and Valetto et al teach that the VL are from a specific family that is claimed.

Therefore, claims 1-23, 78-92, 103, 110, 116 lacks an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Fais et al
in view of Damle et al and Valetto et al.